

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|------------------|
| 09/511,292 | 02/23/2000 | James Nagashima | H-204325 | 3216 |
| 75 | 01/21/2003 | | | |
| Anthony Luke Simon General Motors Corporation Legal Staff | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | ATKINSON, CHRISTOPHER MARK | |
| P O Box 33114 Detroit, MI 48232 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| • | | • | 3743 | |
| | | DATE MAILED: 01/21/2003 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

| APPLICATION NUMBER | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED APPLICANT | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. |
|--------------------|-------------|-----------------------|---------------------|
| | | | I |

EXAMINER ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER DATE MAILED:

This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application. COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

| OFFICE ACTION SUMMARY | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Responsive to communication(s) filed on $6/12/01 + 4/30/6$ | 2 +12/19/on +2/28/01 | | | | |
| ☐ This action is FINAL . | | | | | |
| Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 D.C. 11; 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 3 whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to responthe application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may 1.136(a). | month(s), or thirty days, and within the period for response will cause be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | |
| Claim(s) | is/are pending in the application. | | | | |
| Of the above, claim(s) | is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | |
| ☐ Claim(s) | | | | | |
| (laim(s)/-/1 | is/are rejected. | | | | |
| ☐ Claim(s) | is/are objected to. | | | | |
| ☐ Claims | are subject to restriction or election requirement. | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | |
| ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. | | | | | |
| ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are | objected to by the Examiner. | | | | |
| The proposed drawing correction, filed on | is 🗌 approved 🔲 disapproved. | | | | |
| ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | l(a)-(d). | | | | |
| . All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority docume | ents have been | | | | |
| received. | | | | | |
| received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) | <u> </u> | | | | |
| 🔲 received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PC | CT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | |
| *Certified copies not received: | | | | | |
| $\hfill\square$ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1 | 19(e). | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | |
| Notice of Reference Cited, PTO-892 | | | | | |
| ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). | | | | | |
| ☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413 | | | | | |
| ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 | | | | | |
| ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152 | | | | | |

- SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES -

Application/Control Number: 09/511,292

Art Unit: 3743

Response to RCE and Amendment

Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103 which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. § 103, the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 C.F.R. § 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of potential 35 U.S.C. § 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. § 103.

Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Wolgemuth et al. in view of Larson et al. The patent of Wolgemuth et al. in Figures 1-4 discloses all the claimed features of the invention with the exception of spring clips and recesses in the heat sinking member.

Art Unit: 3743

The patent of Larson et al. in Figures 6-7 discloses that it is known to have spring clips and recesses in a heat sinking member for the purpose of securing an electronic device to the heat sinking member. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to employ in Wolgemuth et al. spring clips and recesses in a heat sinking member for the purpose of securing an electronic device to the heat sinking member as disclosed in Larson et al.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher Atkinson whose telephone number is (703) 308-2603.

HRISTOPHER ATKINSON PRIMARY EXAMINER

January 13, 2003